Candidate-product discovery demonstration

Which products merit deeper research for a selected patent?

A fictional adaptive-control patent in a defined sensor-controller market. Three fictional products are screened; the table is not an accusation about any company.

Candidate	Reason to investigate	Evidence available	Uncertainty / next step
Example controller A	Manual explicitly describes sensor feedback and programmable limits	Fictional manual C-01 §3; reviewed 2026-10-26	Threshold implementation unknown. Prioritize a focused EOU search.
Example controller B	Marketing refers to adaptive operation	Fictional product page C-02; reviewed 2026-10-26	Implementation and version applicability unclear. Seek a technical manual before escalation.
Example controller C	Shares the broad product category	Fictional catalogue C-03; reviewed 2026-10-26	No claim-relevant feature located within scope. Deprioritize pending new evidence.

Interpretation and handoff

Candidate A has a better research starting point than B because the available source is more technically specific.

Candidate ranking combines technical relevance, evidence availability and research gaps. It is not a ranking of legal liability.

Limitations

A bounded search can produce no useful candidates. Deliverables document the search and negative findings as well as promising leads.

Next review

The next phase is separately scoped around selected products and claims; discovery does not include full charts for every candidate.

Discuss a real project

Tell us what you are trying to understand. We will discuss whether we can help, what information is available and how the work could be scoped.

Email: jim@terrif.io | overlapip.terrifio.chatgpt.site

Prepared 26 October 2026. All patents, products, excerpts and dataset values in this demonstration are fictional. No patent search or real-product assessment is represented.