Patent-to-product mapping demonstration

Which current products have evidence relevant to the selected patent families, and what requires review?

Three fictional patent families and two fictional sensor-controller models. This is a worked format example, not an analysis of real claims or products.

Family / selected limitation	Product / feature	Evidence and date	Status / next review
DEMO-01, claim 1: controller receives a temperature measurement	Model A v2.1 / temperature input	Fictional manual A-01 §4.2, dated 2026-09-01; reviewed 2026-10-26	Direct evidence for this limitation; association still requires full selected-claim review.
DEMO-02, claim 1: threshold varies with operating mode	Model B v1.4 / adaptive control	Fictional release note B-02 §2 describes adaptive operation but not threshold logic	Inference only; seek engineering confirmation before approving the association.
DEMO-03, claim 1: remote sensor calibration	No matching feature located	No supporting source in the defined materials	Unresolved; revisit after next product release or further documentation.

Interpretation and handoff

A feature match is not a conclusion that an entire claim covers a product. The full selected claim must be reviewed.

Product versions, evidence IDs and review status allow the map to be updated without overwriting the historical record.

Limitations

The example does not determine patent coverage, marking sufficiency or legal obligations.

Next review

Revisit on a material product revision, changed claim status or receipt of new technical evidence.

Discuss a real project

Tell us what you are trying to understand. We will discuss whether we can help, what information is available and how the work could be scoped.

Email: jim@terrif.io | overlapip.terrifio.chatgpt.site

Prepared 26 October 2026. All patents, products, excerpts and dataset values in this demonstration are fictional. No patent search or real-product assessment is represented.